UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -110)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,712 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Sep 16, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-110 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION		
ALABAMA NORTHERN					
ALN	1	19-01401	City of Oxford, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
FLORIDA MIDDLE					
FLM	6	19-01700	Orange County, Florida v. Pudue Pharma L.P., et al		
GEORGIA SOUTHERN					
GAS	5	19-00071	City of Blackshear, Georgia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al		
MASSACHUSETTS					
MA	1	19-11806	Hickey v. Purdue Pharma LP et al		
MINNESO	ГΑ				
MN	0	19-02388	Carver County, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
MN	0	19-02389	Steele County, Minnesota et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
NEW YORK EASTERN					
NYE	1	19-04903	CWA Local 1182 & 1183 Health & Welfare Funds v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
NYE	1	19-04915	Laborers Local 235 Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
NYE	1	19-04940	Painting Industry Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
NYE	1	19-04944	Westchester Heavy Construction Laborers Local 60 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al		
OKLAHOMA EASTERN					
OKE	6	19-00279	Atoka County Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al Opposed 9/13/19		

Case: 1:17-md-02804-DAP Doc #: 2610 Filed: 09/16/19 3 of 3. PageID #: 414421

OKE	6	19-00280	Haskell County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al Opposed 9/13/19		
OKE	6	19-00282	Latimer County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al Opposed 9/13/19		
OKLAHOMA WESTERN					
OKW	5	19-00776	Cimarron County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 9/13/19		
PENNSYLV	ANIA M	IIDDLE			
			City of Hamilton Daniel Company of the Discourse I. D.		

City of Hazleton, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al PAM 3 19-01398